

MOBILITY HUMANITIES Guidelines for Reviewers of Manuscripts

Mobility Humanities publishes original papers of a high standard of scholarship that make an innovative contribution to the humanities-based mobility studies from different disciplines around the world.

Mobility Humanities would encourage reviewers of manuscripts to provide constructive comments, suggestions, and/or criticisms to help the author(s) improve their work. To this end, the journal asks reviewers to take into consideration the following instructions:

Ethics

1. If the reviewers feel unqualified to review the assigned manuscript or affirms that they cannot meet the deadline for completion of the review, they should immediately notify the editors and excuse themselves from the process of reviewing the manuscript.
2. The reviewers should inform the editors and recuse themselves from reviewing the manuscript if there is a conflict of interest. Specifically, the reviewers should recuse themselves from reviewing any manuscripts authored or co-authored by a person with whom the reviewers has an obvious personal or academic relationship, if the relationship could introduce bias or the reasonable perception of bias.
3. The reviewers should treat the manuscript in a confidential manner. The manuscript should not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorised by the editors.
4. The reviewers should approach the peer-review job objectively. Personal criticism of the authors is unacceptable.
5. The reviewers should not use any part of the data or work reported in submitted and yet unpublished articles for their research.
6. The reviewers should immediately notify the guest editor(s) or Associate Editor of any similarities between the manuscript under review and another paper either published or under consideration by another journal. The reviewer should immediately call the guest editor(s)'s or Associate Editor's attention to any manuscripts containing plagiarised materials or falsified data.

Some Points to Consider

Reviewers are required to evaluate the manuscript and provide useful comments which enable the author(s) to improve the quality of the manuscript. To score the manuscript, reviewers are required to consider the following points:

1. Originality

Manuscripts submitted to **Mobility Humanities** undergo an initial screening stage via the “Copy Killer” plagiarism detection system. At the same time, they are considered for originality and creativity in the research field.

2. Contribution to the field

While seeking vibrant interdisciplinary discussions on the phenomena, technologies, and infrastructures of mobility and its ramifications from the humanities perspective, **Mobility Humanities** encourages papers that delve into their cultural-political, ethical, and spiritual and emotional meanings, focusing on the representation, imagination, and speculation that surround mobility. To this end, manuscripts are considered for their contribution to the humanities-based mobility studies regardless of their traditional disciplines.

3. Technical quality

Manuscripts are considered in terms of the robustness of the research methods; and the quality and usefulness of the evidence presented, including photographs, diagrams, charts, figures, or illustrative materials.

4. Depth of Research

- Manuscripts must engage sufficiently with the relevant primary, secondary, and other related literature.
- Manuscripts must state the overarching aim and/or sub-objectives and sufficiently argue them.
- Finally, reviewers can consider that the authors exhibit mastery of the topic they write on.

5. Clarity

For the sake of clarity, manuscripts are considered on the following points:

- Abstract and keywords
- Structure
- Works Cited
- Language (British English), including grammar, syntax, diction, punctuation, etc.

Suggestions

Reviewers finally make one of the following suggestions about the manuscript:

1. **Accept:** The journal will publish the manuscript in its original form.
2. **Minor Revision:** The journal will publish the manuscript after making minor corrections suggested by reviewers.
3. **Major Revision:** The journal will publish the manuscript, provided the author(s) makes the changes suggested by reviewers and/or editors.

4. **Revise for Language Editing:** The journal will ask the author(s) to resubmit their manuscript after revising for language editing.
5. **Reject:** The journal will not publish the manuscript or reconsider it even if the author(s) makes major revisions.

Other Instructions

1. The peer review is conducted via ScholarOne Manuscripts:
<https://mco3.manuscriptcentral.com/mobilityhumanities>.
2. After agreeing to review a submission, reviewers are asked to finish the review within a month. Queries concerning the peer review process, including delay and withdrawal, should be sent to the Associate Editor and/or the Mobility Humanities Editorial Office as follows: **the Associate Editor** Jinhyoung Lee (gespenst@konkuk.ac.kr), **The Mobility Humanities Editorial Office** (mobilityhumanities@gmail.com)